IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI WESTERN DIVISION

)
)))
) Case No. 09-mc-9006-W-HFS
)
)
)
)
)
)
)

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

This is a proceeding to quash a deposition subpoena directed to a Western District attorney who has represented one of the plaintiffs in a class action proceeding pending in the Eastern District before Judge Webber.

Plaintiff previously filed a bankruptcy proceeding which failed to list the contingent claim asserted here. On deposition, plaintiff testified that he had disclosed the possible claim to his lawyer, the prospective deponent, and that the decision not to list the claim must have been made by the lawyer.

The motion to quash alleges that deposing an attorney is unduly burdensome, will likely involve privileged matter, and that any unprivileged information could be developed without deposition.

In the meantime, a motion has been filed on plaintiff's behalf to reopen the bankruptcy case

and list the claim. The motion has been granted. It seems possible that the issues to be covered in

deposition would be mooted, but the parties do not so advise.

In the interest of expediting and simplifying proceedings, I will state what seems fairly

obvious: (1) discussions between plaintiff and his attorney concerning the merits of the claim would

be privileged, (2) whether the bankruptcy attorney can confirm or deny the testimony previously

given by plaintiff could be covered by deposition, because this skeletonized aspect of the privilege

has been waived, and (3) the motion to quash should be denied, but only insofar as questions

essentially replicate the ones answered by plaintiff on his deposition.

It is therefore ORDERED that the motion to quash (ECF doc. 1) is DENIED, to the extent

explained here, and defendants' claim for allowance of expenses is DENIED.

/s/ Howard F. Sachs

HOWARD F. SACHS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

May <u>19</u>, 2009

Kansas City, Missouri